



**ΔΙΚΗΓΟΡΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΛΛΟΓΟΣ ΠΑΦΟΥ
ΕΠΙΤΡΟΠΗ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΙΝΩΝ ΔΙΚΑΙΩΜΑΤΩΝ
ΠΑΓΚΥΠΡΙΟΥ ΔΙΚΗΓΟΡΙΚΟΥ ΣΥΛΛΟΓΟΥ**

**ΠΕΡΙΓΡΑΜΜΑ ΟΜΙΛΙΑΣ
Αχιλλέα Δημητριάδη – Δικηγόρου**

- ΕΙΣΑΓΩΓΗ**
- Μέγιστο των Δικαιωμάτων του Ατόμου, Άρθρο 34
 - Δικαίωμα Ατομικής Προσφυγής από το 1989 στην Κύπρο
 - Τουρκία 1987 – Δικαστήριο 1990
- ΕΞΑΣΚΗΣΗ**
- 6 μήνες, Άρθρο 35
 - Εξάντληση Εσωτερικών Ένδικων Μέσων
 - Έντυπο Αίτησης – Έγγραφα στα Ελληνικά
- ΔΙΑΔΙΚΑΣΙΑ**
- Αποδεκτή/Έκδηλα Αβάσιμη
 - Κοινοποίηση στο Κράτος με δήλωση γεγονότων και ερωτήσεις
 - Ένσταση από Γενικό Εισαγγελέα (Government Agent)
 - Απάντηση Αιτητή και Απαίτηση, Άρθρο 41
 - Φιλικός Διακανονισμός/Μονομερής Δήλωση
 - Απόφαση για Παραδεκτό: Τελεσιδικη
 - Απόφαση για Παραβίαση/Δίκαιη Ικανοποίηση
 - Παραπομπή στην Διευρυμένη Σύμβαση (17), Άρθρο 43
- ΕΚΤΕΛΕΣΗ**
- Επιτροπή Υπουργών – Επόπτευση Εκτέλεσης, Άρθρο 46: Πληρωμή, Ατομικά μέτρα και Γενικά μέτρα
- ΠΑΡΑΔΕΙΓΜΑΤΑ**
- Λοϊζίδου vs Τουρκίας (7/89)
Παραδεκτή 3/95, Παραβίαση 12/96, Αποζημίωση 7/98
Καταβολή £0.641 εκ. 12/03
Συζήτηση για αποκατάσταση στην Επιτροπή Υπουργών
 - Μοδινός v Κύπρου (5/1989)
Απόφαση 1993/Τροποποίηση του Νόμου 1998
 - Καυκαρής v Κύπρου 2004
Parole Board/Απόφαση 2008
- ΝΕΕΣ
ΥΠΟΘΕΣΕΙΣ**
- Κηδεμόνας Τ/Κ Περιουσιών
 - Αγνοούμενοι
 - Νεκρή Ζώνη
 - Βάσεις
 - Καθυστερήσεις: Δίκαιη δίκη
 - Εκτέλεση εναντίον Τουρκίας

ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΗ

Αίθουσα Εκδηλώσεων Τράπεζας Κύπρου, Λεωφ. Ελλάδος 48^Α & Κισσάβου, Πάφος

**© Αχιλλέας Δημητριάδης
10 Οκτωβρίου 2018**

(A) **European Court of Human Rights** www.echr.coe.int **HUDOC – Search Engine**

- **Recent Judgments**
- **Recent Press Releases**
- **Applicants**
- **Fact Sheets**

(B) **European Convention on Human Rights**

Article 34: Individual applications The Court may receive applications from any person, nongovernmental organisation or group of individuals claiming to be the victim of a violation by one of the High Contracting Parties of the rights set forth in the Convention or the Protocols thereto. The High Contracting Parties undertake not to hinder in any way the effective exercise of this right.

Article 35: Admissibility criteria 1. The Court may only deal with the matter after all domestic remedies have been exhausted, according to the generally recognised rules of international law, and within a period of six months from the date on which the final decision was taken. 2. The Court shall not deal with any application submitted under Article 34 that (a) is anonymous; or (b) is substantially the same as a matter that has already been examined by the Court or has already been submitted to another procedure of international investigation or settlement and contains no relevant new information. 22 23 3. The Court shall declare inadmissible any individual application submitted under Article 34 if it considers that: (a) the application is incompatible with the provisions of the Convention or the Protocols thereto, manifestly ill-founded, or an abuse of the right of individual application; or (b) the applicant has not suffered a significant disadvantage, unless respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and the Protocols thereto requires an examination of the application on the merits and provided that no case may be rejected on this ground which has not been duly considered by a domestic tribunal. 4. The Court shall reject any application which it considers inadmissible under this Article. It may do so at any stage of the proceedings.

Article 41: Just satisfaction If the Court finds that there has been a violation of the Convention or the Protocols thereto, and if the internal law of the High Contracting Party concerned allows only partial reparation to be made, the Court shall, if necessary, afford just satisfaction to the injured party.

Article 43: Referral to the Grand Chamber 1. Within a period of three months from the date of the judgment of the Chamber, any party to the case may, in exceptional cases, request that the case be referred to the Grand Chamber. 2. A panel of five judges of the Grand Chamber shall accept the request if the case raises a serious question affecting the interpretation or application of the Convention or the Protocols thereto, or a serious issue of general importance. 3. If the panel accepts the request, the Grand Chamber shall decide the case by means of a judgment.

Article 46: Binding force and execution of judgments 1. The High Contracting Parties undertake to abide by the final judgment of the Court in any case to which they are parties. 2. The final judgment of the Court shall be transmitted to the Committee of Ministers, which shall supervise its execution. 3. If the Committee of Ministers considers that the supervision of the execution of a final judgment is hindered by a problem of interpretation of the judgment, it may refer the matter to the Court for a ruling on the question of interpretation. A referral decision shall require a majority vote of two-thirds of the representatives entitled to sit on the committee. 4. If the Committee of Ministers considers that a High Contracting Party refuses to abide by a final judgment in a case to which it is a party, it may, after serving formal notice on that Party and by decision adopted by a majority vote of two-thirds of the representatives entitled to sit on the committee, refer to the Court the question whether that Party has failed to fulfil its obligation under paragraph 1. 5. If the Court finds a violation of paragraph 1, it shall refer the case to the Committee of Ministers for consideration of the measures to be taken. If the Court finds no violation of paragraph 1, it shall refer the case to the Committee of Ministers, which shall close its examination of the case.